- (1) Debarment for causes other than those related to a violation of the requirements of subpart F of this part generally should not exceed three years. Where circumstances warrant, a longer period of debarment may be imposed.
- (2) In the case of a debarment for a violation of the requirements of subpart F of this part (see §24.305(c)(5)), the period of debarment shall not exceed five years.
- (b) The debarring official may extend an existing debarment for an additional period, if that official determines that an extension is necessary to protect the public interest. However, a debarment may not be extended solely on the basis of the facts and circumstances upon which the initial debarment action was based. If debarment for an additional period is determined to be necessary, the procedures of §§24.311 through 24.314 shall be followed to extend the debarment.
- (c) The respondent may request the debarring official to reverse the debarment decision or to reduce the period or scope of debarment. Such a request shall be in writing and supported by documentation. The debarring official may grant such a request for reasons including, but not limited to:
- (1) Newly discovered material evidence;
- (2) Reversal of the conviction or civil judgment upon which the debarment was based:
- (3) Bona fide change in ownership or management:
- (4) Elimination of other causes for which the debarment was imposed; or
- (5) Other reasons the debarring official deems appropriate.
- (d) Where respondent's request to reduce the period or scope of debarment is based on reasons set forth in paragraphs (c)(4) or (5) of this section, such request may not be submitted earlier than six months after the final decision to debar. In no event may more than one such request be submitted within any 12-month period.
- [53 FR 19182 and 19204, May 26, 1988, as amended at 53 FR 19185, May 26, 1988, 54 FR 4950 and 4957, Jan 31, 1989]

#### §24.325 Scope of debarment.

- (a) Scope in general. (1) Debarment of a person under these regulations constitutes debarment of all its divisions and other organizational elements from all covered transactions, unless the debarment decision is limited by its terms to one or more specifically identified individuals, divisions or other organizational elements or to specific types of transactions.
- (2) The debarment action may include any affiliate of the participant that is specifically named and given notice of the proposed debarment and an opportunity to respond (see §§ 24.311 through 24.314).
- (3) Debarment of a contractor under these regulations, or by another Federal agency pursuant to 48 CFR subpart 9.4, constitutes debarment of all its divisions and other organizational elements from all Federal procurement, unless the debarment is limited by its terms to one or more specifically identified individuals, divisions, or other organizational elements or to specific types of contracts. The debarment may be extended to include any affiliates of the contractor, if they are specifically named, given written notice of the proposed debarment, and provided with an opportunity to respond.
- (b) *Imputing conduct.* For purposes of determining the scope of debarment, conduct may be imputed as follows:
- (1) Conduct imputed to participant. The fraudulent, criminal or other seriously improper conduct of any officer, director, shareholder, partner, employee, or other individual associated with a participant may be imputed to the participant when the conduct occurred in connection with the individual's performance of duties for or on behalf of the participant, or with the participant's knowledge, approval, or acquiescence. The participant's acceptance of the benefits derived from the conduct shall be evidence of such knowledge, approval, or acquiescence.
- (2) Conduct imputed to individuals associated with participant. The fraudulent, criminal, or other seriously improper conduct of a participant may be imputed to any officer, director, shareholder, partner, employee, or other individual associated with the participant who participated in, knew of, or

## § 24.400

had reason to know of the participant's conduct.

- (3) Conduct of one participant imputed to other participants in a joint venture. The fraudulent, criminal, or other seriously improper conduct of one participant in a joint venture, grant pursuant to a joint application, or similar arrangement may be imputed to other participants if the conduct occurred for or on behalf of the joint venture, grant pursuant to a joint application, or similar arrangement may be imputed to other participants if the conduct occurred for or on behalf of the joint venture, grant pursuant to a joint application, or similar arrangement or with the knowledge, approval, or acquiescence of these participants. Acceptance of the benefits derived from the conduct shall be evidence of such knowledge, approval, or acquiescence.
- (4) The provisions of paragraphs (b)(1) through (3) of this section are also applicable for purposes of imputing conduct to a contractor.

[53 FR 19182 and 19204, May 26, 1988, as amended at 53 FR 19185, May 26, 1988]

# Subpart D—Suspension

### §24.400 General.

- (a) The suspending official may suspend a person for any of the causes in §24.405 using procedures established in §§24.410 through 24.413.
- (b) Suspension is a serious action to be imposed only when:
- (1) There exists adequate evidence of one or more of the causes set out in §24.405, and
- (2) Immediate action is necessary to protect the public interest.
- (c) In assessing the adequacy of the evidence, the agency should consider how much information is available, how credible it is given the circumstances, whether or not important allegations are corroborated, and what inferences can reasonably be drawn as a result. This assessment should include an examination of basic documents such as grants, cooperative agreements, loan authorizations, and contracts.

[53 FR 19182 and 19204, May 26, 1988, as amended at 60 FR 33050, June 26, 1995]

#### §24.405 Causes for suspension.

- (a) Suspension may be imposed in accordance with the provisions of §§ 24.400 through 24.413 upon adequate evidence:
- (1) To suspect the commission of an offense listed in §24.305(a); or
- (2) That a cause for debarment under §24.305 may exist.
- (b) Indictment shall constitute adequate evidence for purposes of suspension actions.

#### §24.410 Procedures.

- (a) Investigation and referral. Information concerning the existence of a cause for suspension from any source shall be promptly reported, investigated, and referred, when appropriate, to the suspending official for consideration. After consideration, the suspending official may issue a notice of suspension.
- (b) Decisionmaking process. HUD shall process suspension actions as informally as practicable, consistent with principles of fundamental fairness, using the procedures in §24.411 through §24.413.

[53 FR 19182 and 19204, May 26, 1988, as amended at 60 FR 33050, June 26, 1995]

# §24.411 Notice of suspension.

When a respondent is suspended, notice shall immediately be given:

- (a) That the suspension has been imposed;
- (b) That the suspension is based on an indictment, conviction, or other adequate evidence that the respondent has committed irregularities seriously reflecting on the propriety of further Federal Government dealings with the respondent;
- (c) Describing any such irregularities in terms sufficient to put the respondent on notice without disclosing the Federal Government's evidence;
- (d) Of the cause(s) relied upon under §24.405 for imposing suspension;
- (e) That the suspension is for a temporary period pending the completion of an investigation or ensuing legal, debarment or Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act proceedings;
- (f) Of the provisions of §§24.411 through 24.413 and any other HUD procedures, if applicable, governing suspension decisionmaking; and